

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Noboru YOKOTA, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2812

Serial No.: 10/067,882

Examiner: WHITMORE, Stacy

Filed: February 8, 2002

Confirmation No.: 8324

For:

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE HAVING EMBEDDED ALLOY

Attorney Docket No.: 991183A

Customer No.: 38834

## RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT **DATED February 2, 2004**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 25, 2004

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Official Action dated February 2, 2004.

In the Action, restriction is required between Group (I), Claims 1-4; Group (II), Claims 5-13 and 15-16; and Group (III), Claim 14.

It is noted that this is a divisional application and claims 1-4, 15 and 16 have already been canceled in the present application. Therefore, Applicants believe that they are actually required to elect either Group (II), Claims 5-13; or Group (III), Claim 14.

Applicants hereby elect the subject matter of Group (II), Claims 5-13 for prosecution in this application. This election is made without traverse, and it is understood that the applicant's rights to the filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter under 35 USC 120 and 35 USC 121 are retained.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, applicants hereby petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for any such extension, or any other additional fees required in connection with this response, may be charged to our Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Sadas Las L

Sadao Kinashi

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 48,075

SK/fs

1250 Connecticut Ave. N.W.

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 822-1100

Q:\1999\991183A\991183a response to restriction 022504.doc